

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/606,013	06/24/2003	Ronald C. Cagle	HM-72822	5715
7590 11/22/2004			EXAMINER	
Jack C. Munro 28720 Roadside Drive, Suite 225 Agoura Hills, CA 91301		BUECHNER, PATRICK M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3754	

DATE MAILED: 11/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		4 1 1	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
0.00	10/606,013	CAGLE, RONALD C.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	Patrick M Buechner	3754	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re b. In reply within the statutory minimum of thirty briod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT blatute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	4 June 2003.		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ <sup>-</sup>	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice und	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application	tion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>1,3-5,10-12 and 18</u> is/are rejected			
7) Claim(s) <u>2,6-9,13-17,19 and 20</u> is/are object			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 June 2003</u> is/are	: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objec	led to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	J.,	` '	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • •	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum  2. Certified copies of the priority docum  3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But  * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. The sents have been received in Appriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su		
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/24/03.</li> </ol>		/Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) -	

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1 and 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bishop et al. (US 4,944,429).

Bishop discloses a fluid dispenser having a receptacle (14), with an opening, a retainer (20) having a platform (unlabeled, top of screw cap 20) with a connector opening for the nozzle (36) of the pump assembly (34) and opposing sidewalls (26). Bishop also discloses a cover (22) connected to the nozzle (36) for actuation of the pump means.

Bishop also discloses the cover having an open interior for the nozzle (Figure 1) and the cover having guide means (56) that compliment with guide means on the retainer (20) that secure the nozzle in a predetermined position and control movement of the cover relative to the retainer. The guide means (56) also interact with stop means (30) on the retainer to provide an uppermost inactive position, the biasing means of the pump (40) keeping the assembly biased in the uppermost inactive position.

3. Claims 10-12 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kneer (WO 99/16552)

Kneer discloses a fluid dispenser having a housing (16) with an inner receptacle (1) and a retainer assembly (generally 23) having a platform (22) with a connector opening (not labeled)

Application/Control Number: 10/606,013 Page 3

Art Unit: 3754

and a pump assembly (6) engaged with the opening where the pump has a crown portion (21) secured to the connector opening and having a bore for the flow tube (both unlabeled). Kneer also discloses a pump head (unlabeled defining nozzle 13) fixed to a shaft connected to the flow tube. Kneer also discloses retention means (25a and 27a) for releasably securing the retainer to the housing.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 2, 6-9, 13-17, 19 and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Crasper (US 4,620,646), Ten Wolde (US 5,016,781), Farner (US 5,25,227), Sadow (US 6,092,694) and Chen (US 6,305,580).
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrick M Buechner whose telephone number is (703) 308-2602. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30am-5:00pm M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Mar can be reached on (703) 308-2087. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/606,013 Page 4

Art Unit: 3754

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PB

PB

MICHAEL MAR SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Michael Man